Identifying different stacking sequences in few-layer CVD-grown MoS2 by low-energy atomic-resolution scanning transmission electron microscopy
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I. INTRODUCTION

Atomically thin MoS2 grown by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) is a promising candidate for next-generation electronics due to inherent CVD scalablility and controllability. However, it is well known that the stacking sequence in few-layer MoS2 can significantly impact electrical and optical properties. Herein we report different intrinsic stacking sequences in CVD-grown few-layer MoS2 obtained by atomic-resolution annular-dark-field imaging in an aberration-corrected scanning transmission electron microscope operated at 50 keV. Trilayer MoS2 displays a new stacking sequence distinct from the commonly observed 2H and 3R phases of MoS2. Density functional theory is used to examine the stability of different stacking sequences, and the findings are consistent with our experimental observations.

II. EXPERIMENTAL AND THEORETICAL DETAILS

We use a two-zone furnace to grow single- and few-layer (bilayer and trilayer) MoS2 on SiO2/Si substrates, as described previously [21]. A quartz tube contains S and MoO3 precursors, with S in zone 1 and MoO3 precursor in zone 2. SiO2/Si chips are placed on top of the crucible that contains the MoO3 precursor. N2 gas flows through the quartz tube during the whole growth process. Zones 1 and 2 are first kept at 105 °C for 3 h to warm up the precursors and 200 sccm N2 flows through the tube. The temperature of zone 2 is then slowly increased to 500 °C (over 30 min) while zone 1 is kept at 105 °C. These temperatures are maintained for 30 min. The temperatures of zones 1 and 2 are then raised to 400 and 780 °C.
(over 30 min), respectively, and N₂ flow rate is changed to 9 sccm. The 400 and 780 °C temperatures are maintained for 10 min, after which the furnace is turned off and cooled to room temperature naturally.

We use optical microscopy and Raman spectroscopy to initially characterize single- and few-layer MoS₂ grown on SiO₂/Si by the CVD method (see examples shown in Supplemental Material Sec. I [22]) before transferring the flakes onto Quantifoil® TEM grids. Previous studies have shown that single-layer MoS₂ grown on SiO₂/Si by the CVD method displays a direct band gap and shows a strong photoluminescence (PL) peak centered around 1.84 eV at room temperature [18,19]. Double-layer CVD-grown MoS₂ has an indirect band gap and shows a slightly redshifted PL peak compared to the single-layer form. These distinctions aid in initial sample layer number identification.

TEM samples for STEM ADF imaging are prepared by a “direct transfer” [23]. A Quantifoil® TEM grid is placed on the targeted MoS₂ flakes grown on the SiO₂/Si substrate and a drop of isopropyl alcohol (IPA) is placed next to the TEM grid. After the IPA evaporates completely, the SiO₂/Si substrate with the TEM grid is placed into a potassium hydroxide (KOH) solution (∼10%) overnight. After etching away the SiO₂, the TEM grid with MoS₂ flakes is detached from the Si substrate. The TEM grid is then rinsed in de-ionized (DI) water several times. Immediately before imaging, the TEM grid with MoS₂ flakes is annealed in forming gas (Ar : H₂ = 200 sccm:50 sccm) at 250 °C for 3 h.

Atomic-resolution STEM ADF imaging is performed on TEAM 0.5, a double-aberration-corrected (scanning) transmission electron microscope (STEM/TEM) located in the National Center for Electron Microscopy (NCEM) at the Molecular Foundry, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. The microscope is here operated at 50 keV to minimize radiation damage to the sample. For STEM ADF imaging, a probe size of ∼1.7 Å, a convergence angle of 32 mrad, and collection angles of 77–385 mrad are used.

Total energy calculations are carried out using density functional theory (DFT) and the projector augmented-wave (PAW) approach as implemented in the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) [14,24,25]. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) is used to approximate the electronic exchange and correlation. The interlayer van der Waals interactions are considered using a correction scheme by Grimme [26]. We model MoS₂ stacking using a slab model with a vacuum layer of at least 20 Å. All structures are relaxed until the energy has converged to within 10⁻⁶ eV/unit cell.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As a member of transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) having rich polytypism [27], bulk MoS₂ presents two commonly observed phases: (1) the 2H phase (space group $P6_3/mmc$) which exists naturally and can also be synthesized via chemical vapor transport (CVT) methods, and (2) the 3R phase (space group $R3m$) which is typically synthesized (again via CVT methods) [17]. The stacking orders for both 2H and 3R phases, in the single-layer, bilayer, and trilayer configurations, are shown in Fig. 1. The nomenclature for the stacking sequence used here follows Refs. [14,28]. Single-layer MoS₂ for both phases has the same structure, with one layer of Mo atoms sandwiched between two layers of S atoms. Each layer of Mo and S atoms is arranged in a hexagonal lattice and two S layers have the same in-plane lattice points. For bilayer MoS₂, the 2H and 3R phases show different stacking sequences for the S-Mo-S sandwiched layer. As shown in Fig. 1, 2H-phase MoS₂ in the form of a bilayer has a stacking sequence recorded as $AA'$, with Mo eclipsed over S, and the two layers have inversion symmetry. 3R-phase MoS₂ in the form of a bilayer has a stacking sequence $AB$, with staggered Mo over S, and the two layers do not have inversion symmetry. To determine the stacking sequence for trilayer MoS₂ of different phases, we treat the second layer as the first layer (as if it were A) and name the stacking sequence for the third layer following the two-layer naming system. Therefore, 2H-phase trilayer MoS₂ has a stacking sequence $(AA')A'$ and 3R-phase trilayer MoS₂ has the sequence $(AB)B$.

![Fig. 1. Schematics showing the stacking orders for 2H and 3R phases MoS₂ in the single-layer, bilayer and trilayer forms viewed from side and top. Red spheres represent Mo atoms and yellow spheres represent S atoms.](image-url)
ADF STEM imaging is a powerful tool to distinguish different atoms provided that the sample has uniform thickness \[29\]. Given that (1) MoS\(_2\) is composed of two atomic species (Mo and S) which have significantly different atomic numbers (Mo 42, S 16), and (2) multilayer MoS\(_2\) is a layered structure with uniform sample thickness for a certain number of layers, ADF imaging serves ideally to identify the type and location of each atom in thin samples. Figure 2 shows the low-magnification ADF image of single-layer, bilayer, and trilayer MoS\(_2\) suspended over holes of the TEM grid. The layer number can be identified according to the linearly changed intensity in the image after background subtraction (using vacuum as a reference) as shown in Fig. 2(b).

With comparison to simulated ADF images of MoS\(_2\) with known stacking sequences, we identify the stacking sequence in experimental ADF images of CVD-grown few-layer MoS\(_2\). In order to improve the signal-to-noise ratio in the experimentally obtained ADF images, a few or tens of unit cells from a larger sample area are averaged \[30\] and presented in Figs. 3(a)–3(c), corresponding to single-layer, bilayer, and trilayer MoS\(_2\), respectively [the same region as shown in Fig. 2(a)]. The original ADF images from larger areas of different layer numbers can be found in the Supplemental Material Sec. 2 \[22\]. Figures 3(d)–3(f) are simulated ADF images based on multislice theory \[30\]. These simulated images provide information regarding symmetry and relative intensity at different atomic sites for a certain stacking sequence. A match in terms of both symmetry and intensity between simulated and experimental ADF images is essential for the determination of the correct stacking sequence. Experimental ADF images are less sharp than the simulated ones due to incoherence and a broadening effect in the real-life electron probe, as well as drifting effects and sample vibration during the imaging process. In the experimental ADF images, one often finds overlapping of intensity between neighboring atoms, which blurs the atomic edges. The experimental ADF image of single-layer MoS\(_2\) [Fig. 3(a)] shows distinct intensity at two sublattices for Mo and S, respectively, with red spheres representing Mo and overlapping yellow spheres representing S. A simulated ADF image of single-layer MoS\(_2\) [Fig. 3(d)] shows the same symmetry as the experimental ADF image. The line scans across the Mo and S sublattice points in experimental and simulated images [Fig. 3(g)] show the same ratio of relative intensity. With the same analysis method, we find that a great portion (>50%) of bilayer CVD-grown MoS\(_2\) takes the stacking sequence of AA’. An experimental ADF image of such a stacking sequence is shown in Fig. 3(b), in which we consistently observe intensity differences around 15% at two sublattices with threefold symmetry. This is in accord with the multislice simulation shown in Fig. 3(e). The line scans across the inequivalent two lattice points in experimental and simulated ADF images are compared in Fig. 3(b), which show a good match in relative intensity. Nonzero relative rotation angles between the two layers are also observed in our bilayer MoS\(_2\) samples, which are common in CVD-grown MoS\(_2\) (see Supplemental Material Sec. 3 \[22\] and Ref. \[18\]).

A new symmetry-breaking stacking sequence is found in our CVD-grown trilayer MoS\(_2\). This stacking sequence is identified to be (AA’)B after we compare the experimental and simulated ADF images of trilayer MoS\(_2\) with stacking sequences that have AA’ stacking for the first two layers (see Supplemental Material Sec. 4). In AA’B stacked trilayer MoS\(_2\), the third layer is staggered, with S atoms over Mo atoms of the second layer, as shown schematically in Fig. 4(a).

There are three distinct lattice points in AA’B stacked trilayer MoS\(_2\) as shown schematically in Fig. 4(a). The intensity in the ADF image is linear as a function of the number of layers after background subtraction using vacuum as reference.
MoS₂, as shown in the experimental [Fig. 3(c)] and simulated [Fig. 3(f)] ADF images. The line scans across these three lattice points [Fig. 3(i)] in experimental and simulated ADF images show that the ratio of intensity for these three lattice points is 1:0.55:0.45 and 1:0.65:0.5, respectively, in reasonable agreement. CVD-grown trilayer MoS₂ with a stacking sequence A'A'B is consistently observed (>50%) across the same sample and in samples from different growth batches (see Supplemental Material Sec. 5 [22]).

To understand the prevalence of the A'A'B stacking sequence over other stacking possibilities in the trilayer MoS₂, we perform first-principles calculations to study the preference of different stacking sequences of MoS₂. All possible bilayer (five) and trilayer (15) stacking sequences of MoS₂ are calculated and the total energies are compared in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c), respectively (absolute values for all stacking possibilities are in Supplemental Material Sec. 6 [22]). Here, the calculated energy is the total energy from solving the Kohn-Sham equation [31] plus the dispersion corrections by Grimme [26]. For bilayer MoS₂, as expected, the stacking sequences with the lowest energies are A'A' and A'B, which correspond to bilayer forms of the 2H and 3R phases, respectively, in reasonable agreement. CVD-grown trilayer MoS₂ with a stacking sequence A'A'B is consistently observed (>50%) across the same sample and in samples from different growth batches (see Supplemental Material Sec. 5 [22]).

Our calculation results of bilayer MoS₂ are comparable to those of Ref. [14]. These two stacking sequences are close in energy and are commonly observed in experimental studies. In our CVD-grown bilayer MoS₂, A'A' stacking is prevalent over A'B stacking. Similarly, there are three trilayer stacking possibilities with nearly degenerate calculated energy, as outlined by orange circles in Fig. 4(c): (A'A')A', (A'A')B, and (A'B)B (the energy differences are within typical DFT error, so a true ground state is not determined). Importantly, the experimentally observed (A'A')B stacking in CVD-grown trilayer MoS₂ is among these predicted minimum energy configurations. Moreover, the (A'A')B stacking sequence is dominant in our CVD-grown trilayer MoS₂, and indicates that our growth conditions favor this stacking over other low-energy stacking sequences, probably due to the higher-energy barriers required by those stacking configurations.

CVD-grown few-layer MoS₂ with different stacking sequences reported here is relevant to the electronic and optical properties of this material. Specifically, distinct layer-dependent valley polarization phenomena in few-layer 2H and 3R phases of MoS₂ [17] suggest that trilayer CVD-grown MoS₂ with mixed stacking sequences (A'A'B) may present a new valley polarization signature that is different from those of both phases of trilayer form. In addition, we speculate the layer-dependent stacking sequence observed in our CVD-grown few-layer MoS₂ is likely controlled synergistically by various growth parameters. These parameters include growth temperature, feeding rate, and partial pressure of the precursors, which can change slightly over time during the material’s nucleation and growth process. Because CVD growth of few-layer MoS₂ follows the so-called “layer-by-layer” growth mechanism [32], the slight change in growth parameters over time will cause a change in the preferred stacking sequence for a certain layer number.
IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Atomic-resolution STEM imaging is successfully employed to precisely determine different stacking sequences in few-layer CVD-grown MoS$_2$. The stacking sequences in bilayer and trilayer MoS$_2$ are found to differ. The 2H phase is consistently observed for bilayer CVD-grown MoS$_2$, which has the stacking sequence $AA'$ with inversion symmetry. The stacking sequence $AA'B$ is observed for the majority of CVD-grown trilayer MoS$_2$, which shows broken inversion symmetry. First-principles calculations show that the $AA'B$ stacking sequence is among the most stable configurations, with the other two stacking possibilities of $AA'A'$ (2H phase) and $ABB$ (3R phase). Due to the symmetry-breaking nature of trilayer CVD-grown MoS$_2$, this configuration should be of great interest for valley polarization studies.
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